

# **Environmental Impact Assessment** [version 1.0]

Proposal title: Residents Parking Scheme Policy Review	1	
Project stage and type: ☐ Initial Idea Mandate	☐ Outline Business Case	☐ Full Business Case
☑ Policy ☐ Strategy ☐ Function ☐ Service	⊠ New	☐ Changing
☐ Other [please state]	☐ Already exists / review	
Directorate: Growth and Regeneration – Economy	Lead Officer name: Damian	Garner
of Place and Management of Place		
Service Area: City Transport and Highways and	Lead Officer role: Transport	Policy
Traffic		

#### Step 1: What do we want to do?

The purpose of this Environmental Impact Assessment is to help you develop your proposal in a way that is compliant with the council's policies and supports the council's strategic objectives under the <a href="One City Climate">One City Ecological Emergency Strategy</a> and the latest <a href="Corporate Strategy">Corporate Strategy</a>.

This assessment should be started at the beginning of the project proposal process by someone with a good knowledge of the project, the service area that will deliver it, and sufficient influence over the proposal to make changes as needed.

It is good practice to take a team approach to completing the Environmental Impact Assessment. See further <u>guidance</u> on completing this document. Please email <u>environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</u> early for advice and feedback.

## 1.1 What are the aims and objectives/purpose of this proposal?

Briefly explain the purpose of the proposal and why it is needed. Please use <u>plain English</u>, avoiding jargon and acronyms.

Residents Parking Schemes (RPS) intend to promote modal shift by removing the opportunity for extended commuter parking in the central area and inner-city neighbourhoods.

The current Joint Local Transport Plan adopted in 2019 provides policy justification for the implementation of RPSs. Alongside transport policies, the council is also committed to wider policies designed to mitigate the impacts of climate change which set out the need to reconsider how we best use road space to mitigate the impact of climate change and biodiversity loss.

At the Cabinet Meeting of 06 June 2023, it was approved that RPS policy changes were to be reviewed and considered by officers and returned to cabinet. The proposal is to seek approval of changes below following a review of the Council's Resident Parking Schemes.

- A reduction in business permits in the Clifton Village area of 10%, for those businesses who currently have over seven customer permits or more.
- The removal of third vehicle permits.
- Increasing the cost of a first permit from £56 to £178 respectively.

The aims and objectives of this proposal is achieving better alignment with the corporate strategy i.e.

Transport and Connectivity – Safe and Active Travel, Connectivity. Proposals will help to prioritise more
road space for sustainable modes through infrastructure like cycle hangars as well as better reflecting the
cost of parking.

<ol> <li>Environment and Sustainability – Climate Resilience, Ecological Recovery, Carbon Neutral. Both through encouraging sustainable transport use and through enabling reallocation of road space to climate resilience measures like street trees and SUDS features.</li> </ol>	
and to realise City Benefits:	
3. Enhance ability to adapt to climate change through reallocation of road space.	
4. Increased desirability of sustainable transport modes.	
Consultation on the proposals will subsequently be undertaken where required.	
Additionally, further evidence-base work recommended to ensure a suitably robust set of policy	
recommendations can be derived for future consideration, and possible initial informal consultation with stakeholders.	

#### 1.2 Will the proposal have an environmental impact?

Could the proposal have either a positive or negative effects for the environment now or in the future? If 'No' explain why you are sure there will be no environmental impact, then skip steps 2-3 and request review by sending this form to <a href="mailto:environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk">environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</a>

If 'Yes' comple	ete the rest of th	is assessment.				
⊠ Yes	$\square$ No	[please select]				
1.3 If the p	proposal is pa	irt of an options appraisal,	, has the environmental impact of each optic	on		
been a	assessed and i	included in the recommen	dation-making process?			
If 'Yes' please	If 'Yes' please ensure that the details of the environmental impacts of each option are made clear in the pros and					
cons section o	of the <u>project ma</u>	anagement options appraisal do	ocument.			
☐ Yes	⊠ No	☐ Not applicable	[please select]			
If 'No' explain	why environme	ntal impacts have not been con	nsidered as part of the options appraisal process.			
		•	the options appraisal process as the proposal is a			
policy propos	sal at the mome	nt, with only a broad financial a	assessment undertaken.			

### Step 2: What kinds of environmental impacts might the project have?

Analysis of impacts must be rigorous. Please demonstrate your analysis of any impacts of the proposal in this section, referring to evidence you have gathered. See detailed <u>guidance documents</u> for advice on identifying potential impacts.

#### Does the proposal create any benefits for the environment, or have any adverse impacts?

Outline any potential benefits of the proposal and how they can be maximised. Identify how the proposal will support our corporate environmental objectives and the wider <a href="One City Climate and Ecological Emergency strategies">One City Climate and Ecological Emergency Strategies</a>.

Consider how the proposal creates environmental impacts in the following categories, both now and in the future. Reasonable efforts should be made to quantify stated benefit or adverse impacts wherever possible.

Where the proposal is likely to have a beneficial impact, consider what actions would enhance those impacts. Where the proposal is likely to have a harmful impact, consider whether actions would mitigate these impacts.

Enhancements or mitigation actions are only required when there is a likely impact identified. Remember that where enhancements or mitigation actions are listed, they should be assigned to staff and appropriately resourced.

GENERAL COMMENTS (highlighted)	ght any potent	ial issues th	at might impact a	ll or many	categories)	
ENV1 Carbon neutral: Emissions of climate changing gases  BCC has committed to achieving net zero emissions for its direct activities by 2025, and to support the city in achieving net zero by 2030.	Benefits	The proportion of the number vehicles partial average in than those	emissions.  cosal will help to rough infrastructures to Just Transer of RPS permiter household. The whereby general umber of vehicle with fewer veloces.	prioritise sition cor t allocatio his is an i ally wealth es contril	nsiderations, the pons limited to no rimprovement over himprovement over hier households w bute proportional	for sustainable proposal will see more than 2 r the current with more than ly more emissions
Will the proposal involve transport, or the use of energy in buildings? Will the proposal involve the purchase of goods or services? If the answer is yes to either of these questions,	Enhancing actions  Persistence	measures	-	gh realloc	dditional sustainal cation of road spa	•
there will be a carbon	Persistence (	or errects:	□ 1 year or less	5	□ 1 – 5 years	🖂 5+ years
impact.  Consider the scale and timeframe of the impact, particularly if the proposal will lead to ongoing	Adverse impacts					
emissions beyond the 2025 and 2030 target dates.  Further guidance  No impact	Mitigating actions					
	Persistence (	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	<u> </u>	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
	2333333		100.		;:a.o	
ENV2 Ecological recovery: Wildlife and habitats BCC has committed to 30% of its land being managed for nature and to halve its use of pesticides by 2030.	Benefits	sustainab	le transport and	l ecologic	e road space for re cal recovery action cossibilities for the	s, such as
Consider how your proposal can support increased space for nature, reduced use of pesticides, reduce pollution to waterways, and reduce	Enhancing actions					

consumption of products	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	
that undermine ecosystems					
around the world.					
	Adverse				
If your proposal will directly	impacts				
lead to a reduction in habitat					
within Bristol, then consider					
how your proposed					
mitigation can lead to a					
biodiversity net gain. Be sure					
to refer to quantifiable	Mitigating				
changes wherever possible.	actions				
Further guidance					
☐ No impact	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
ENV3 A cleaner, low-waste					
city: Consumption of	Benefits				
resources and generation of	Dellelles				
waste					
Consider what resources will	Enhancing				
be used as a result of the	actions				
proposal, how they can be					
minimised or swapped for					
less impactful ones, where	Persistence	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
they will be sourced from,					
and what will happen to any					
waste generated	Adverse impacts				
	iiiipacts				
	Mitigating				
	Mitigating actions				
Further guidance	actions				
☐ No impact	Persistence (	of affacts:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
	1 CISISCENCE	or cricets.	I year or less	□ 1 5 years	_ 3. years
		Both thro	ugh encouraging sustai	nable transport use and	d through
ENV4 Climate resilience:			future reallocation of ro		
Bristol's resilience to the		_	like street trees and SU	•	
effects of climate change	Benefits				
Bristol's climate is already					
changing, and increasingly					
frequent instances of					
extreme weather will					
become more likely over	Enhancing				
time.	actions				

Consider how the proposal	Persistence of	of effects:	$\square$ 1 year or less	$\Box$ 1 – 5 years	
will perform during periods of extreme weather					
(particularly heat and	Adverse				
flooding).	impacts				
Consider if the proposal will					
reduce or increase risk to					
people and assets during extreme weather events.	Mitigating				
	actions				
Further guidance					
☐ No impact	Persistence of	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less		☐ 5+ years
			year er rees		
				lead to reduced air pollutior	across the
Statutory duty:	Benefits	city region			
Prevention of Pollution to					
air, water, or land					
	Enhancing				
Consider how the proposal	actions				
will change the likelihood of					
pollution occurring to air,	Persistence (	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	⊠ 5+ years
water, or land and what steps will be taken to	A -1				
prevent pollution occurring.	Adverse impacts				
	Mitigating				
Further guidance	actions				
☐ No impact	Persistence of	of effects:	☐ 1 year or less	☐ 1 – 5 years	☐ 5+ years
	1 2.2.3.20.100				7 , 5 0.10

## Step 3: Action Plan

Use this section summarise and assign responsibility for any actions you have identified to improve data, enhance beneficial, or mitigate negative impacts. Actions identified in section two can be grouped together if named responsibility is under the same person.

This action plan should be updated at each stage of the project. Please be aware that the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service may use this action plan as an audit checklist during the project's implementation or operation.

Enhancing / mitigating action required	Responsible Officer	Timescale
Public / Key Stakeholder Consultation on approved policy changes	Adam Crowther	2024/2025

## Step 4: Review

The Sustainable City and Climate Change Service need at least five working days to comment and feedback on your impact assessment. Assessments should only be marked as reviewed when they provide sufficient information for decision-makers on the environmental impact of the proposal.

Please seek feedback and review by emailing <a href="mailto:environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk">environmental.performance@bristol.gov.uk</a> before final submission of your decision pathway documentation<sup>1</sup>.

Where impacts identified in this assessment are deemed significant, they will be summarised here by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service and must be included on the cover sheet of the decision pathway documentation.

Summary of significant beneficial impacts and opportunities to support the Climate, Ecological and Corporate
Strategies (ENV1,2,3,4):
Summary of significant adverse impacts and how they can be mitigated:

Environmental Performance Team Reviewer:	Submitting author:
Daniel Shelton	Damian Garner
Date:	Date:
15.01.2024	15.01.2024

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Review by the Sustainable City and Climate Change Service confirms there is sufficient analysis for decision makers to consider the likely environmental impacts at this stage. This is not an endorsement or approval of the proposal.